UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/091,300	06/16/1998	WOLFGANG VON DEYN	5000-0103PUS1	4798
2292 7590 10/02/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			HAVLIN, ROBERT H	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/091,300	VON DEYN ET A	AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ROBERT HAVLIN	1626					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>ROBERT HAVLIN</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Andrew Meikle</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>18 September 2008</u> .							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>17-23,28-31 and 34-52</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Adachi et al. (US 6147031)</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was informational in nature with the Meikle describing the file history complications due to its being lost and reconstructed. Meikle also pointed out the prior art reference of Adachi was previously suggested as an interference. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626							